

## WGGC 2026 – PASS 2 Player Season Reviews

Below are five bullet-point summaries for every player, explaining how their season unfolded under the Best 7 of 10 format.

### 1. John Timson (Position 1)

- Finished the season ranked 1 with a Best-7 total of 253.5 Stableford points.
- Played 9 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they had a steady scoring profile across the season.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they produced timely big rounds when needed.
- Ultimately, the format exposed a lack of top-end scores, leaving room for improvement next season.

### 2. Jim Cunningham (Position 2)

- Finished the season ranked 2 with a Best-7 total of 250.1 Stableford points.
- Played 10 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they avoided extreme highs and lows.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they produced timely big rounds when needed.
- Ultimately, the Best-7 cut-off limited upward movement, putting them firmly in the division mix.

### 3. Peter Shaw (Position 3)

- Finished the season ranked 3 with a Best-7 total of 247.8 Stableford points.
- Played 10 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they maintained a reliable scoring baseline.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they lacked the very highest scoring peaks compared to rivals.
- Ultimately, the format rewarded their season-long approach, overall delivering a positive season outcome.

### 4. Mark Nicholson (Position 4)

- Finished the season ranked 4 with a Best-7 total of 247.2 Stableford points.
- Played 10 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they maintained a reliable scoring baseline.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they lacked the very highest scoring peaks compared to rivals.
- Ultimately, the format exposed a lack of top-end scores, ending the campaign on a competitive note.

### 5. Martin Shaw (Position 5)

- Finished the season ranked 5 with a Best-7 total of 246.4 Stableford points.
- Played 10 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they had a steady scoring profile across the season.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they had a limited number of peak scoring days.
- Ultimately, the Best-7 rule filtered out weaker rounds effectively, resulting in a respectable final position.

### 6. Mark Beswick (Position 6)

- Finished the season ranked 6 with a Best-7 total of 245.8 Stableford points.
- Played 10 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they produced dependable returns in most appearances.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they had a limited number of peak scoring days.

- Ultimately, the format exposed a lack of top-end scores, ending the campaign on a competitive note.

## **7. Howard Forrester (Position 7)**

- Finished the season ranked 7 with a Best-7 total of 242.2 Stableford points.
- Played 10 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they showed solid week-to-week consistency.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they posted several standout high Stableford rounds.
- Ultimately, the Best-7 rule filtered out weaker rounds effectively, leaving room for improvement next season.

## **8. Rob Tonks (Position 8)**

- Finished the season ranked 8 with a Best-7 total of 240.6 Stableford points.
- Played 10 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they had a steady scoring profile across the season.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they had a limited number of peak scoring days.
- Ultimately, the format exposed a lack of top-end scores, leaving room for improvement next season.

## **9. Kevin Ferry (Position 9)**

- Finished the season ranked 9 with a Best-7 total of 238.9 Stableford points.
- Played 10 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they avoided extreme highs and lows.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they produced timely big rounds when needed.
- Ultimately, the Best-7 cut-off limited upward movement, resulting in a respectable final position.

## **10. Karl Blattner (Position 10)**

- Finished the season ranked 10 with a Best-7 total of 236.7 Stableford points.
- Played 10 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they showed solid week-to-week consistency.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they had a limited number of peak scoring days.
- Ultimately, the format exposed a lack of top-end scores, overall delivering a positive season outcome.

## **11. Stephen Bentley (Position 11)**

- Finished the season ranked 11 with a Best-7 total of 236.4 Stableford points.
- Played 10 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they avoided extreme highs and lows.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they had a limited number of peak scoring days.
- Ultimately, the Best-7 rule filtered out weaker rounds effectively, resulting in a respectable final position.

## **12. Jamie Agnew (Position 12)**

- Finished the season ranked 12 with a Best-7 total of 236.2 Stableford points.
- Played 9 events, shaping how much flexibility they had under the Best-7 rule.
- Throughout the year, they maintained a reliable scoring baseline.
- In terms of scoring ceiling, they lacked the very highest scoring peaks compared to rivals.
- Ultimately, the Best-7 format worked strongly in their favour, overall delivering a positive season outcome.
- of top-end scores, resulting in a respectable final position.